

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adverse: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Dex 1450
Alexandra, Miguina 22313-1450
www.juspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,334	01/23/2002	S. Brandon Keller	10014137-1	5374
22879	7590 08/01/2006		EXAM	INER
	PACKARD COMPA	PANNALA, SATI	HYANARAYA R	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Application Number**

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/052,334	KELLER ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Charles Rones	2164	

Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 6-26-06.

	1. Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s):	nd a conference will not be held for the following		
	☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.		
	The time period for filing a response continues to run freshe mail date of the last Office communication, if no No.	om the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tice of Appeal has been received.		
	2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicar is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.			
	The panel has determined the status of the clack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-13, 15-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:		
	3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.			
	4. Reopen Prosecution - A conference has been had action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	neld. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office pplicant at this time.		
All	participants:	-		
(1)	Charles Rones.	(3) <u>Joseph Dixon</u> .		
(2)	Sathyanaraya R. Pannala.	(4)		

SUPERMSORY PATENT EXAMINER

(1)

(2)